



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/522,074 | 07/08/2005 | Darrell Sleep | P30,358USA | 7594 |

23307 7590 10/29/2007
SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP
1101 MARKET STREET
26TH FLOOR
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107-2950

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| EXAMINER | |
| GUDIBANDE, SATYANARAYAN R | |

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1654 | |

| | |
|------------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| 10/29/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/522,074 | SLEEP, DARRELL | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Satyanarayana R. Gudibande | 1654 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Satyanarayana R. Gudibande. (3) Marc Segal.
 (2) Anish Gupta. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 7 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants pointed out an error in the office action with regards to notation of the amino acid. Applicants to submit evidence that leader sequences are known in the art in terms of its structure..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 ANISH GUPTA
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required